

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 03/07/25      TIME: 9:00 A.M.      DEPT: D      CASE NO: FL2103770

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

---

PETITIONER:    MILMAR ANTONIO  
ALVARADO TRUJILLO

and

RESPONDENT:   CLARISA GARDENIA  
VASQUEZ

---

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REVIEW OF REPORTS HEARING – FAMILY COURT SERVICES

RULING

This matter is set for hearing re: custody/visitation regarding the parties' children: Dylan (DOB 6/21/12); Annabella (DOB 9/16/14) and Keilani (DOB 12/14/18).

Petitioner/Father was interviewed by Family Court Services ("FCS"); however, Respondent/Mother did not attend mediation. FCS filed its Report & Recommendations with the Court on 2/14/25.

Having considered the history of this case, as well as Mother's failure to appear for mediation, the Court finds that it is in the best interests of the children to adopt the FCS recommendations as modified below:

1. Father shall continue to have sole legal and physical custody of the children.
2. Mother shall have professionally supervised visits at Rally Family Services once per week for a period of one hour per visit, according to the availability of Rally.

SO ORDERED.

The Court will prepare the order per Rule 5.125, California Rules of Court.

***Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being***

*requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.*

*IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department D. The parties may access Department D for video conference via a link on the court website.*

*FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.*

*Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at [www.marin.courts.ca.gov](http://www.marin.courts.ca.gov)*

*The Zoom appearance information is as follows:*

*March 2025 at 09:00 AM  
Join Zoom Meeting*

*<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1601114119?pwd=p6bV9Ef8WHjm1j7zyTrwjExIV0by4.1>*

*Meeting ID: 160 111 4119  
Passcode: 636308*

*If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.*

*+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)  
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119  
Passcode: 636308*

*If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.*

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 03/07/25      TIME: 9:00 A.M.      DEPT: D      CASE NO: FL2300821

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

---

PETITIONER:    AMY M. CUNHA

and

RESPONDENT: BARTHOLOMEUS JOHN  
LYMBERG

---

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) REQUEST FOR ORDER – ATTORNEY’S FEES AND COSTS  
2) REQUEST FOR ORDER – OTHER: PROPORTIONAL PAY ON MORTGAGE, TAXES, INSURANCE, UTILITIES ON MARITAL RESIDENCE

**RULING**

This matter is set for hearing on: (1) Respondent/Father’s 10/31/24 Request for Order (“RFO”) for (a) expenses for the marital residence to be divided proportionally between Father and Petitioner/Mother based on their shared occupancy of the home per their “nesting” arrangement; and (2) Mother’s 11/5/24 RFO re: child support, without which she contends she cannot pay a proportional share of the marital residence expenses. Mother also requests Father pay her \$10,000 for attorneys’ fees. These RFOs have been continued several times by mutual agreement of the parties.

Appearances required.

*Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.*

***IT IS ORDERED*** that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department D. The parties may access Department D for video conference via a link on the court website.

---

***FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.***

***Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at [www.marin.courts.ca.gov](http://www.marin.courts.ca.gov)***

***The Zoom appearance information is as follows:***

***March 2025 at 09:00 AM  
Join Zoom Meeting***

***<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1601114119?pwd=p6bV9Ef8WHjm1j7jzyTrwjExIV0by4.1>  
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119  
Passcode: 636308***

***If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.***

***+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)  
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119  
Passcode: 636308***

***If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.***

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 03/07/25      TIME: 9:00 A.M.      DEPT: D      CASE NO: FL0000446

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

---

PETITIONER:    ARACELY DE LEON  
LOPEZ

and

RESPONDENT: ANGEL ORLANDO  
GARCIA DE LEON

---

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD CUSTODY/VISITATION

**RULING**

This matter is set for hearing on Respondent/Father’s Request for Order (“RFO”) filed 1/22/25 re: custody/visitation of the parties’ son, Luis (DOB 11/14/20). Petitioner/Mother filed a Request for Temporary Restraining Order (“TRO”) on 1/16/25, and a Responsive Declaration to the RFO on 1/28/25. The Court notes that, after full hearing, on 2/4/25, the Court denied Mother’s Request for a Domestic Violence Restraining Order and ordered no change in the custody/visitation schedule previously ordered on 12/6/25. The Court did make some additional orders regarding the parties’ interactions.

In his 1/22/25 Request for Order, Father states that Mother is not abiding by the Court’s orders for visits and will not let him see Luis. He requests a schedule of alternate weekends from Friday after work through Sunday at 6-7 pm and Monday and Wednesdays from after school until 7:00 pm. This is exactly what the Court ordered on 12/6/24.

There has been no change of circumstances warranting a change in custody/visitation from what was already ordered in the Findings and Order After Hearing filed 12/6/24. Therefore, those orders remain in full force and effect, together with the orders made by the Court at the DVRO hearing on 2/4/24. Therefore,

1. Father’s RFO is denied.
  2. All orders made in the Court’s 12/6/24 Findings and Order and in the Court’s 2/4/25 Findings and Order After the DVRO hearing remain in full force and effect.
  3. Both parties are ordered to comply with the Court’s orders. Failure to do so will have consequences.
-

SO ORDERED.

The Court will prepare the order per Rule 5.125, California Rules of Court.

*Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.*

*IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department D. The parties may access Department D for video conference via a link on the court website.*

*FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.*

*Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at [www.marin.courts.ca.gov](http://www.marin.courts.ca.gov)*

*The Zoom appearance information is as follows:*

*March 2025 at 09:00 AM  
Join Zoom Meeting*

*<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1601114119?pwd=p6bV9Ef8WHjm1j7jzyTrwjExIV0by4.1>  
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119  
Passcode: 636308*

*If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.*

*+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)  
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119  
Passcode: 636308*

*If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom.*

*If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.*

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 03/07/25      TIME: 9:00 A.M.      DEPT: D      CASE NO: FL0001396

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

---

PETITIONER: LAURA JALOMO ALFARO

and

RESPONDENT: SALVADOR GOMEZ

---

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD CUSTODY/VISITATION

**RULING**

This matter is set for hearing on Petitioner/Mother’s Request for Order (“RFO”) filed 10/25/24 re: custody/visitation regarding the parties’ son, Mateo (DOB 4/21/22). Mother requests sole legal and physical custody of Mateo. She states that Respondent/Father has not participated in any parenting decisions and has only seen Mateo four times in the past year.

Father was served with the Summons and Petition for Custody, and he signed a Notice and Acknowledgment of Receipt on 2/25/25. In addition, Mother’s RFO was served by mail on 2/6/25, together with the Court’s Findings and Order After Hearing. This matter had previously been referred to Family Court Services (“FCS”). Father did not attend mediation; however, it is not clear to the Court whether Father actually received notice of the mediation appointment. Having had no input from Father, FCS filed its Report & Recommendations with the Court on 11/25/24.

Father has now been properly served but has not filed any response to Mother’s RFO re: custody and Father has failed to file any response to Mother’s RFO re: custody. Therefore, the Court finds it is in the best interests of Mateo to now adopt the FCS Recommendations, as modified below:

1. Mother shall have sole legal and physical custody of Mateo.
2. Visits between Father and Mateo shall occur as mutually agreed in writing by Mother and Father. Father shall have reasonable visitation with Mateo. Mother shall not unreasonably withhold visits from Father.
3. If Father is not in agreement with these recommendations, he will be re-referred to FCS.

SO ORDERED.

---



The Court will prepare the order per Rule 5.125, California Rules of Court.

*Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.*

*IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department D. The parties may access Department D for video conference via a link on the court website.*

*FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.*

*Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at [www.marin.courts.ca.gov](http://www.marin.courts.ca.gov)*

*The Zoom appearance information is as follows:*

*March 2025 at 09:00 AM  
Join Zoom Meeting*

*<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1601114119?pwd=p6bV9Ef8WHjm1j7jzyTrwjExIV0bv4.1>  
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119  
Passcode: 636308*

*If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.*

*+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)  
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119  
Passcode: 636308*

*If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.*

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 03/07/25      TIME: 9:00 A.M.      DEPT: D      CASE NO: FL0001636

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

---

PETITIONER:    JACQUELINE LOU  
THOMS

and

RESPONDENT:    RONAN DAVID THOMS

---

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: STATUS ONLY HEARING – RE: TEMPORARY  
EMERGENCY ORDERS

RULING

This matter is set for status regarding the Temporary Emergency Orders issued by the Court on 2/14/25.

Appearances required.

*Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.*

*IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department D. The parties may access Department D for video conference via a link on the court website.*

*FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.*

*Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at [www.marin.courts.ca.gov](http://www.marin.courts.ca.gov)*

*The Zoom appearance information is as follows:*

*March 2025 at 09:00 AM  
Join Zoom Meeting*

*<https://marin-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1601114119?pwd=p6bV9Ef8WHjm1j7IzyTrwjExIV0by4.1>  
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119  
Passcode: 636308*

*If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.*

*+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)  
Meeting ID: 160 111 4119  
Passcode: 636308*

*If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.*