

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 05/28/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL1303811

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: LISA BLOCK TODD

and

RESPONDENT: JASON L. TODD

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD SUPPORT
2) REQUEST FOR ORDER - VISITATION

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on: (1) Petitioner/Mother’s 2/28/24 Request for Order (“RFO”)to modify child support, to fix and liquidate cell phone bill reimbursement, and to finalize the Brief Focused Evaluation (“BFE”) report from Frederica Conrad, L Ph.D.

Respondent/Father filed his Responsive Declaration on 4/2/24. Father requests Mother’s request to modify child support be denied, or alternatively that Mother be imputed with income; that an order for Mother to seek work be issued. Father denies there are outstanding add-on costs, and requests the Court restore the court order re: custody made prior to the BFE.

Also on for hearing is Father’s 3/8/24 RFO re: visitation, in which he requests Mondays and Tuesdays be added to his long weekends with the parties’ daughter, Emmerson (DOB 12/10/07).

Child support

Neither party has provided the Court with all of the financial information necessary to calculate support. The parties are referred to, and expected to comply with, Rule 7.13 A-D of the Marin County Local Family Court Rules, which detail the information and documentation required in all proceedings involving requests for child support. Father needs to provide his 2023 income tax return and profit and loss statement for 2024 year-to-date. In addition, Father shall file with the Court a current statement showing the balance of the retirement account funded by money from his father’s estate.

The Court is not clear what Mother’s plans are with respect to work. If Mother contends she is completely unable to work due to her medical condition, she must submit to the Court documentation from her doctor verifying that. The Court does not see that Mother’s having no income, but paying \$10,205/month in expenses, including \$700/month in uninsured medical

expenses and \$2,000/month rent for a shop which seems to have been closed since January 2023, is sustainable. In addition, Mother shall provide to the Court the closing statement for the loan she secured and which paid off her business expenses.

Both parties are ordered to file with the Court the additional financial and other information ordered **no later than June 21, 2024**. The matter is continued to July 2, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom D.

Add-Ons

Mother's request for reimbursement for Emmerson's cell-phone charges from March 2019 forward appears to be moot since, per Mother's Reply, Father has paid the outstanding balance.

Custody/Visitation

The Court will not make any orders changing the current custody/visitation schedule until Dr. Conrad's BFE report is received. Accordingly, the hearing on custody/visitation is deferred.

An inquiry has been made to Dr. Conrad by the Court regarding the status of the BFE report. If the parties have any information regarding the status of the report, they should appear at the 5/28/24 hearing to so advise the Court.

The case is continued for hearing on the above issues to July 2, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. in Department D.

SO ORDERED.

The Court will prepare the Findings and Order After Hearing per Rule 5.125, California Rules of Court.

TEMPORARILY, under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Interpreter services via video technology are currently not available.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***May 2024 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***Zoom link for Courtroom D Family Law 161 0592888 passcode 841800
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 161 059 2888

Passcode: 841800

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 05/28/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL1900599

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: JOHN CHENDO

and

RESPONDENT: KARY EFSTRATIS
CHENDO

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – OTHER: ENFORCE
JUDGMENT; BEACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY; AND SANCTIONS 271, 721 AND 1101

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on Respondent/Wife’s 12/18/23 Request for Order (“RFO”) re enforcement of judgment, fix and liquidate bonus support, and for attorneys’ fees and costs per Family Code §§ 271, 721, and 1101.

Appearances required.

TEMPORARILY, under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Interpreter services via video technology are currently not available.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***May 2024 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***Zoom link for Courtroom D Family Law 161 0592888 passcode 841800
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

FL1900599

+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 161 059 2888

Passcode: 841800

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 05/28/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL2002651

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: ZANE ANDREW DOYLE

and

RESPONDENT: CYNTHIA CATHLEEN
DOYLE

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHANGE OF VENUE

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on Petitioner/Father's 4/3/24 motion for change of venue pursuant to CCP §§ 397.5 and 399. Neither Father nor Respondent/Mother nor the minor child reside in Marin County. Father, who is the primary caregiver for the parties' child, resides in Contra Costa County with the minor child, and Mother resides in San Francisco. On 5/14/24, Mother filed her Responsive Declaration, in which she contends that neither the ends of justice nor convenience of the parties and witnesses would be served by changing venue to Contra Costa County.

The Court is unpersuaded by Mother's arguments, which appear to focus solely on Mother's convenience. Father and the minor child have lived in Contra Costa County for the past three years; and the minor child spends significantly more time with Father than with Mother. The minor child also attends school in Contra Costa, and presumably his childcare and health care needs are provided by caretakers and physicians in Contra Costa County. Mother has cited no one, other than herself and her attorney, for whom Contra Costa County might be inconvenient.

Therefore, the Court finds that the convenience of witnesses and the ends of justice would be promoted by changing venue to Contra Costa County. This case shall be transferred to the Contra Costa County Superior Court forthwith. Father shall pay all costs related to the transfer.

SO ORDERED.

Counsel for Father to prepare the Order.

TEMPORARILY, under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Interpreter services via video technology are currently not available.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

May 2024 at 09:00 AM

Join Zoom Meeting

Zoom link for Courtroom D Family Law 161 0592888 passcode 841800

Meeting ID: 161 059 2888

Passcode: 841800

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 161 059 2888

Passcode: 841800

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 05/28/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL0000291

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: ALEX FRANKEL

and

RESPONDENT: REBECCA MARTIN

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD
CUSTODY/VISITATION
2) CASE PROGRESS CONFERENCE

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on Petitioner/Father’s 3/12/24 Request for Order (“RFO”) re: child custody/visitation regarding the parties’ son, William Frankel (nickname “Ami” DOB 4/17/18). Father requests an order granting the parties joint legal and physical custody, with (1) William to be in Father’s care every Thursday from 10 am to Sunday at 10 am, and an overnight every other Wednesday; (2) that William receive all required vaccinations so he can attend a traditional public or private school; (3) that William not be left unsupervised when in the care of his maternal grandfather; and (4) that William have surgery to remove a cyst as recommended by UCSF.

An appointment was scheduled for both parties by Family Court Services (“FCS”). Father met with FCS on 4/24/24; however, Respondent/Mother did not appear or otherwise contact FCS.

After consideration, the Court finds it is in the best interests of William to adopt the FCS recommendations, as follows:

1. The parents shall share joint legal custody of William. The parents shall share in the responsibility and confer in good faith on matters concerning the child’s health, education and welfare. Both parents shall have access to the child’s school, medical, mental health, and dental records and the right to consult with professionals who are providing services to the child.

2. The parents shall share joint physical custody of William.

3. Temporarily, William shall be in Father's care on the following schedule (and with Mother the remainder of the time): every week from Thursday at 10:00 a.m. to Sunday at 10:00 a.m. Any additional time for William to be with either parent shall occur as mutually agreed upon by the parents.
4. If the parents are unable to reach agreement on the other issues in Father's motion, then Mother shall be referred back to Family Court Services for an additional report and recommendations.

SO ORDERED.

The Court will prepare the Findings and Order After Hearing per Rule 5.125, California Rules of Court.

TEMPORARILY, under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Interpreter services via video technology are currently not available.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***May 2024 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***Zoom link for Courtroom D Family Law 161 0592888 passcode 841800
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

***+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 05/28/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL0000555

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: DAVID GEORGE GIRON

and

RESPONDENT: ANA MILADY
CALDERON RODAS

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD CUSTODY/VISITATION

RULING

This matter is set for hearing on Petitioner/Father’s 12/29/23 Request for Order (“RFO”) re child custody/visitation and on Respondent/Mother’s RFO re: child custody/visitation. The parties have one daughter, Bianca (DOB 9/27/15). Father requests joint legal and physical custody, and Mother requests sole legal and physical custody and child support.

Neither party appeared for their scheduled appointment with Family Court Services (“FCS”). The Court will not make a custody order until the parties both meet with FCS. They are re-referred to FCS to schedule an appointment.

With respect to the request for child support, neither party has provided the financial information required to make an order for support. The parties are referred to, and expected to comply with, Rule 7.13 of the Marin County Local Family Court Rules. Each party must provide the other party, and file with the Court, the requisite documentation verifying their income no later than July 8, 2024.

The parties are also referred to the Legal Self-Help Center for assistance with Family Court procedures, compliance with Local Rules requirements regarding financial information and documentation necessary for support orders, and for assistance with document preparation. The Self-Help Center is located at Room C-44 at the Courthouse, or may be reached by telephone at (415) 444-7130 or email at selfhelp@marin.courts.ca.gov with their requests and to better understand the Court’s processes.

The matter is continued for hearing to July 19, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. in Department D.

SO ORDERED.

The Court will prepare the Findings and Order After Hearing per Rule 5.125, California Rules of Court.

TEMPORARILY, under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Interpreter services via video technology are currently not available.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***May 2024 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***Zoom link for Courtroom D Family Law 161 0592888 passcode 841800
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

***+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 05/2824 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL0000556

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: VLADIMIR JIMENEZ
QUEVEDO

and

RESPONDENT: CHRISTINA ALEJANDRA
SOSA CONRADO

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REVIEW OF REPORTS HEARING – CHILD
CUSTODY/VISITATION FOLLOWING DVRO HEARINGS IN FL0000259 AND FL0000364
(BOTH DISMISSED) REFERRED TO FCS

RULING

This matter is set for custody/visitation review hearing regarding the parties’ minor children, Ian Jimenez (DOB 10/10/15) and Christian Jimenez (DOB 4/26/20). Both Petitioner/Father and Respondent/Mother were interviewed by Family Court Services (“FCS”), and the FCS Report & Recommendations were filed with the Court on 5/16/24.

After careful consideration of the matter, the Court finds that it is in the best interests of the children to adopt the recommendations of Family Court Services, modified as follows:

All prior orders not in conflict with the below shall remain in full force and effect with the following modifications.

1. No changes to the custody orders from 12/29/23. The children shall be in Father’s custody each week from Thursday 4 PM through Sunday 7 PM and in the custody of Mother each week from Sunday 7 PM through Thursday 4 PM. If Monday is a holiday, the children shall remain in Father’s custody until 6 PM on Monday.
2. Parents may modify the custody schedule at any time per mutual parental agreement.
3. Neither parent shall inflict corporal punishment (e.g., hitting, slapping, spanking, etc.) on the children nor shall they allow any third party caretaker to do so.
4. Neither parent may change the children’s schools absent mutual parental consent as parents share joint legal custody.

5. 1st right of refusal: shall be triggered by a period of one overnight or more. If the custodial parent cannot care for the children for a period of one overnight or more, they shall offer the other parent first right of refusal to care for the children before calling a babysitter or other childcare provider (including a family member). If the non-custodial parent cannot care for the children during this time, it shall be the responsibility of the custodial parent to make proper childcare arrangements.

SO ORDERED.

The Court will prepare the Findings and Order After Hearing per Rule 5.125, California Rules of Court.

TEMPORARILY, under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Interpreter services via video technology are currently not available.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***May 2024 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***Zoom link for Courtroom D Family Law 161 0592888 passcode 841800
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

***+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 05/28/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: D CASE NO: FL0000589

PRESIDING: HON. BETH S. JORDAN

REPORTER:

CLERK: STACY BOND

PETITIONER: JONATHAN LOGAN

vs.

DEFENDANT: ROBIN BOYNOSKY

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: 1) REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD
CUSTODY/VISITATION
2) CASE PROGRESS CONFERENCE

RULING

This matter is set for hearing following parents' re-referral to Family Court Services ("FCS") with regard to custody/visitation issues with the parties' two children: Lucia Logan (DOB 1/3/14) and Jon Logan (DOB 3/26/19). Both parties attended the scheduled mediation session with FCS. The FCS Report & Recommendations was filed with the Court on 5/10/2024.

After review and careful consideration, the Court finds that it is in the best interests of the children to adopt the parties' agreements and FCS' recommendations, as modified below, and they shall both be added to the orders specified in the Findings and Order After Hearing filed 3/19/24

Agreements

1. The children shall have unfettered access via phone or other electronic device to contact the noncustodial parent whenever they wish to speak with them. The custodial parent shall facilitate such contact and communication whenever requested by either of the children.
2. Both parents shall take an online coparenting class. When it is financially feasible, parents shall consider participating in coparenting counseling.
3. Parents and children shall sign up for and participate in the Kids' Turn workshop.

Recommendations

4. Parents shall not introduce dating partners to the children until they have been in a committed and serious relationship together for at least one year. Parents shall not introduce the children to any casual dating partners.
5. The children shall always properly wear their seatbelts when riding in either parent's car. The children shall not share one seatbelt when being transported in a vehicle driven by either parent. Jon shall ride in an age-appropriate car seat until he meets the legal age and/or height/weight requirements to not do so.

SO ORDERED.

The Court will prepare the Findings and Order After Hearing per Rule 5.125, California Rules of Court.

TEMPORARILY, under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Interpreter services via video technology are currently not available.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

***May 2024 at 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***Zoom link for Courtroom D Family Law 161 0592888 passcode 841800
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

***+1-669-254-5252 US (San Jose)
Meeting ID: 161 059 2888
Passcode: 841800***

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.