

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 12/05/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: L CASE NO: FL1702863

PRESIDING: HON. MARK A. TALAMANTES

REPORTER:

CLERK: JENN CHARIFA

PETITIONER: BRITTNEY RAE
RODRIGUEZ

and

RESPONDENT: JAMES TOMEL
MAYBERRY, JR.

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – MODIFY VISITATION

RULING

This matter was last called on October 3, 2024, as regularly scheduled in this department, and continued at the request of Respondent James T. Mayberry, Jr., (“Father”), who sought a re-referral to Marin Family Court Services. Petitioner Brittney Rae Rodriguez (“Mother”) filed a Request for Order (“RFO”) on July 29, 2024, seeking custody and visitation orders. Proof of service indicates that Father was personally served with the papers on Sept. 20, 2024. He filed no response. No additional papers were filed.

The parents were referred to Marin Family Court Services (“FCS”) for mediation and counseling on the issues raised by Mother. Mother and eventually, Father, attended the scheduled Mediation. (Marin is a recommending county. (Family Code §3183; Marin County Rules, Family 7.17.A.)).

Together, these parents have three children. Tyrell is 12 (3/1/12), Majesty is 9 (1/8/15), and Royalty is 6 (7/13/18).

Family Court Services wrote recent recommendations in this case in a report dated September 26, 2024, after meeting with Mother only. Father appeared at the hearing and the court issued temporary orders for him to be with the children on Saturdays from 12:00 to 2:00 with exchanges occurring at his godmother’s home.

Father has had a number of setbacks and continues to persevere. His children need a sober Father. The court is hopeful that he will maintain his sobriety so he can get on with his life, and better support his children.

In addition to the recent report, FCS wrote prior recommendations in this case in reports dated December 14, 2017. Please refer to those reports for important background information.

CUSTODY AND VISITATION

In consideration of the report and recommendation of FCS issued on September 26, 2024, and after prior order issued on October 24, 2017, the court finds it is the best interest of the children to adopt in part the recommendations of FCS as follows:

1. Sole legal and physical custody of all three children to Mother.
2. All three children shall continue to live with Mother and shall have visits with Father on alternate Saturdays from 12:00 to 2:00 and alternate Sundays from 11:00 to 1:00.
3. Any changes to the schedule, or any additional time for the children to be with Father, shall occur only as agreed upon by Mother.
4. Neither parent shall discuss court issues or other adult matters in the presence of any of the children.
5. Neither parent shall make any disparaging comments about the other parent in the presence of the children or allow others to do so. This means Mother should better support Father, without criticism of him in front of the children.
6. Father shall maintain his sobriety.

Under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Spanish language interpreter services via video technology are not available.

As authorized by CRC 5.125, the court will prepare the Findings and Order After Hearing.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department L. The parties may access Department L for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

December 2024, 09:00 AM

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1610321093?pwd=YW5DaGY2ekZsSUFNbE51T1JsRTMvZz09>

Meeting ID: 161 032 1093

Passcode: 991058

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

+1-669-254-5252

Meeting ID: 161 032 1093

Passcode: 991058

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 12/05/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: L CASE NO: FL1802056

PRESIDING: HON. MARK A. TALAMANTES

REPORTER:

CLERK: JENN CHARIFA

PETITIONER: ALETHEA HARAMPOLIS

and

RESPONDENT: CHRIS SEITZ

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – ENFORCE MSA SECTION II (B)(7)

RULING

This matter was last called on October 10, 2024, as regularly scheduled in this courtroom. Petitioner Alethea Harampolis (“Wife”) filed this Request for Order (“RFO”) seeking an order for Respondent Chris Seitz (“Husband”) to refinance or remove her name from the home loan on their former community property real estate by no later than September 30, 2020. Pursuant to section 11(B)(7) of the Marital Settlement Agreement (“MSA”), if Husband failed to remove Wife’s name from the loan by September 30, 2020, the parties were to sell the Truckee Residence. Wife argues that her name remains on the loan and deed. She requested the Court order the Truckee Residence to be placed on the market. Wife also asked for fees and for the ability to select the Real Estate Agent.

Husband did not file an opposition to the RFO.

Both parties appeared in court and were sworn. The matter was resolved in court, with Husband requesting a continuance to allow a refinance through Rocket Mortgage. Wife consented to the continuance.

No additional papers have been filed since that hearing.

Appearances are required. If the matter has been resolved, it will drop from calendar.

Under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Spanish language interpreter services via video technology are not available.

As authorized by CRC 5.125, the court will prepare the Findings and Order After Hearing.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department L. The parties may access Department L for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

December 2024, 09:00 AM

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1610321093?pwd=YW5DaGY2ekZsSUFNbE51T1JsRTMvZz09>

Meeting ID: 161 032 1093

Passcode: 991058

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

+1-669-254-5252

Meeting ID: 161 032 1093

Passcode: 991058

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 12/05/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: L CASE NO: FL2200907

PRESIDING: HON. MARK A. TALAMANTES

REPORTER:

CLERK: JENN CHARIFA

PETITIONER: SUCELY LOPEZ DE LEON

and

RESPONDENT: MYNOR DARIBERTO
REYES DE LEON

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – VISITATION

RULING

Respondent Mynor Reyes DeLeon, (“Father”), filed a Request for Order (“RFO”) on September 26, 2024, seeking custody and visitation orders to reunify with his son. Petitioner Sucely De Leon (“Mother”) did not file a response. She was served by mail on or about October 4. No other documents were filed.

The parents were referred to Marin Family Court Services (“FCS”) for mediation and counseling on the issues raised by Father. Both parents attended the Mediation. (Marin is a recommending county. (Family Code §3183; Marin County Rules, Family 7.17.A.)).

Together, these parents have one son. Dominic is 11, born on September 24, 2013. He was also interviewed by FCS.

The current orders are from a 3-year DVRO put in place on 6-6-22. Mother has sole legal and physical custody of Dominic and there is an order for no visits between Father and Dominic. Father filed this motion requesting supervised visits with Dominic. He stated in his declaration that he had not seen Dominic in three years, and that he had completed domestic violence and counseling classes.

The child witnessed the domestic violence that occurred 5 years ago. However, what is clear with Father’s filing, is he wants to see his son and he is not going anywhere. Father committed a horrible act. It is good for Dominic to understand that humans can be horribly flawed yet redeemable if given the chance. The court hopes this family will heal and grow forward by resuming supervised visitation with Father.

CUSTODY AND VISITATION

In consideration of the report and recommendation of FCS issued on November 26, 2024, and after prior order as ordered by the DVRO in June 2022, the court finds it is the best interest of Dominic to grant Father's request for supervised visitation as follows:

1. Mother maintains sole legal and physical custody of the child.
2. Father shall have professionally supervised visits with the child through Rally Family Visitation Services. Father shall have one visit on the first and third weekend, as available through Rally. The parties should contact Rally at 415-353-6595 to set up an intake appointment and for directions to the center in San Rafael. The cost for Rally shall be paid equally by both parents.
3. Visits will be on the 3rd Saturday of the month, or as scheduled by Rally.
4. Mother shall support Father's efforts to unify with the child.
5. Dominic shall be assessed as to his need for counseling. Mother shall make a therapy appointment for Dominic, preferably with a therapist who specializes in domestic violence, and shall follow any of the recommendations.

Under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Spanish language interpreter services via video technology are not available.

As authorized by CRC 5.125, the court will prepare the Findings and Order After Hearing.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department L. The parties may access Department L for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

December 2024, 09:00 AM

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1610321093?pwd=YW5DaGY2ekZsSUFNbE51T1JsRTMvZz09>

Meeting ID: 161 032 1093

Passcode: 991058

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

+1-669-254-5252

Meeting ID: 161 032 1093

Passcode: 991058

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 12/05/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: L CASE NO: FL2300876

PRESIDING: HON. MARK A. TALAMANTES

REPORTER:

CLERK: JENN CHARIFA

PETITIONER: DIANNE TYRCZ

and

RESPONDENT: MARTIN SREBA

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – OTHER: ORDERS TO SELL
FAMILY RESIDENCE PURSUANT TO FAMILY CODE SECTION 2650

RULING

This matter was last called on October 31, 2024, and continued at Father’s request because he retained new counsel.

Petitioner Dianne Tyrzcz (“Mother”) this the protected party in a 1-year Domestic Violence Restraining Order (“DVRO”) entered on July 1, 2024, with Respondent Martin Sreba as the restrained party.

The court issued Custody and Visitation orders following the issuance of the DVRO on August 29, 2024, vesting Mother with sole legal and physical custody of the children. No additional papers were filed.

Together, these parents have four children, two of whom are minors. Aurora is 17. Aira is 15.

Aurora turns 18 in January and is a high school senior. Aira is a sophomore. Mother works three jobs to support the children in order to maintain the family home.

Father filed an RFO on September 9, 2024, seeking an order for Mother and the children to move from the family home. Mother filed a responsive declaration on October 24, 2024, agreeing to the sale of the community home. However, she requests to delay the sale until July 1, 2025, or a date after Aurora has graduated from high school.

Family Code § 2108 permits the court, at any time pending dissolution, to order the liquidation of a community asset so as to avoid unreasonable market of investment risk upon a showing a good cause. The court also has discretion to defer the sale of a home after taking a number of factors into consideration, including the length of time the children have remained in the home

and the emotional detriment suffered by the children with a change of residence. See, Family Code §3802(b).

Father's request comes on the heels of the issuance of the DVRO, which fogs the purity of Father's request. Given that Mother is working so hard to maintain the home while Aurora is in her last year of high school, the court is inclined to DENY Father's request.

Appearances are required to address potential settlement of the issue.

Under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Spanish language interpreter services via video technology are not available. Counsel for Father to prepare the order.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department L. The parties may access Department L for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

December 2024, 09:00 AM

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1610321093?pwd=YW5DaGY2ekZsSUFNbE51T1JsRTMvZz09>

Meeting ID: 161 032 1093

Passcode: 991058

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

FL2300876

+1-669-254-5252

Meeting ID: 161 032 1093

Passcode: 991058

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 12/05/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: L CASE NO: FL0000760

PRESIDING: HON. MARK A. TALAMANTES

REPORTER:

CLERK: JENN CHARIFA

PETITIONER: EVAN PATRICK
ANDREWS

and

RESPONDENT: NICOLE DANA CIBELLIS

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER –ATTORNEY’S FEES

RULING

Petitioner Evan Patrick Andrews (“Husband”) filed this Request for Order (“RFO”) on September 30, 2024, seeking spousal support and an award of reasonable attorney’s fees. Respondent Nicole Dana Cibellis (“Wife”) filed a Responsive Declaration on November 20, 2024. Husband filed a reply declaration on November 26.

Orders on this issue were addressed on June 13, 2024, with Wife arguing that Husband is habitually underemployed. She currently works 3 jobs and carries the household with her income.

Wife was ordered to pay Husband *pendente lite* spousal support of \$100, payable as above and continuing until the death of either party, his remarriage or further court order, whichever shall first occur. Spousal support payments are not deductible by the payor spouse, and the payment is not considered income by the receiving spouse. The payor spouse may deduct support from their California income tax return. Father's support is set at \$100 as a reflection of his current unemployed income level.

Husband was advised that he did not offer an adequate explanation regarding why he is not currently working, particularly after having a strong earning history prior to these proceedings.

Husband was also admonished that it is the goal of this state that he shall make reasonable good faith efforts to become self-supporting, and that the failure to make reasonable good faith efforts may be one of the factors considered by the court as a basis for modifying or terminating spousal support.

Appearances are required.

Under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Spanish language interpreter services via video technology are not available.

As authorized by CRC 5.125, the court will prepare the Findings and Order After Hearing.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department L. The parties may access Department L for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

December 2024, 09:00 AM

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1610321093?pwd=YW5DaGY2ekZsSUFNbE51T1JsRTMvZz09>

Meeting ID: 161 032 1093

Passcode: 991058

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

+1-669-254-5252

Meeting ID: 161 032 1093

Passcode: 991058

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 12/05/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: L CASE NO: FL0001153

PRESIDING: HON. MARK A. TALAMANTES

REPORTER:

CLERK: JENN CHARIFA

PETITIONER: KRISTOPHER JORDAN
DAVIS-PILLOW

and

RESPONDENT: TARRYN R. ADGER

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD CUSTODY/VISITATION

RULING

The matter was last called in this courtroom on October 10, 2024, and continued to this date to allow Petitioner Kristopher Jordan Davis-Pillow (“Father”) more time to meet with Marin Family Court Services. Father filed a Request for Order (“RFO”) on August 1, 2024, seeking custody and visitation orders. He also filed a petition to establish a parental relationship on August 1. There is no proof of service that the papers were served on Respondent Tarryn Adger (“Mother”).

The parents were referred to Marin Family Court Services (“FCS”) for mediation and counseling on the issues raised by Mother. [Marin is a recommending county. (Family Code §3183; Marin County Rules, Family 7.17.A.)]. Father did not attend the second referral. Because there is no proof of service, it is possible that Mother was not aware of her appointment with FCS.

A hearing on the RFO cannot proceed until Mother is properly served with the moving papers, and proof of service is filed.

CCP §1005(b) requires service of an RFO within 16 court days before a court hearing. A proof of service must be filed as proof that the responding party was properly served with the moving papers.

The matter is continued to December 5, 2024, at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. L, to allow more time for Father to serve the RFO and any related papers upon Mother.

No additional papers were filed. The matter is dropped from calendar.

Under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Spanish language interpreter services via video technology are not available.

As authorized by CRC 5.125, the court will prepare the Findings and Order After Hearing.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department L. The parties may access Department L for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

***The Zoom appearance information is as follows:
December 2024, 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***<https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1610321093?pwd=YW5DaGY2ekZsSUFNbE51T1JsRTMvZz09>
Meeting ID: 161 032 1093
Passcode: 991058***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

***+1-669-254-5252
Meeting ID: 161 032 1093
Passcode: 991058***

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 12/05/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: L CASE NO: FL0001310

PRESIDING: HON. MARK A. TALAMANTES

REPORTER:

CLERK: JENN CHARIFA

PETITIONER: YESENIA JAZMIN
ARVIZU RAMIREZ

and

RESPONDENT: J. JESUS CASTORENA
GARCIA

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD
CUSTODY/VISITATION; ADJUDICATE PARENTAGE

RULING

Petitioner Yesinia Arvizu Ramirez (“Mother”) filed a Request for Order (“RFO”) on August 1, 2024, seeking custody and visitation orders. There is no proof of service that the papers were served on J. Jesus Garcia (“Father”).

The parents were referred to Marin Family Court Services (“FCS”) for mediation and counseling on the issues raised by Mother. [Marin is a recommending county. (Family Code §3183; Marin County Rules, Family 7.17.A.)]. The parents did not attend. Because there is no proof of service, it is possible that Father was not aware of her appointment with FCS.

A hearing on the RFO cannot proceed until Father is properly served with the moving papers, and proof of service is filed.

CCP §1005(b) requires service of an RFO within 16 court days before a court hearing. A proof of service must be filed as proof that the responding party was properly served with the moving papers.

The matter is continued to February 6, 2025. at 9:00 a.m. in Dept. L, to allow more time for Father to serve the RFO and any related papers upon Father.

Petitioner is directed to Legal Self Help Services, located at the Hall of Justice, 3501 Civic Center Drive, on the Court floor for assistance with any questions she has regarding how to serve the requests. She may also call or meet with a representative of the Self Help Center via video conferencing at 415-444-7130. In person drop-in services are scheduled 8:30-noon on Tuesday – Thursday. Email service is from 8:30 a.m.-3 p.m. Monday – Friday. Phone consultations are available 8:30-noon, Monday – Friday, and 1 p.m. – 3 on Tuesday and Thursday via

selfhelp@marin.courts.ca.gov). Zoom clinics are available 8:30-noon, Monday – Friday.
https://www.marincourt.org/legal_self_help_services.htm

Under current orders, litigants who require the assistance of a Spanish language interpreter shall appear in person. Spanish language interpreter services via video technology are not available.

As authorized by CRC 5.125, the court will prepare the Findings and Order After Hearing.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department L. The parties may access Department L for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

***The Zoom appearance information is as follows:
December 2024, 09:00 AM
Join Zoom Meeting***

***<https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1610321093?pwd=YW5DaGY2ekZsSUFNbE51T1JsRTMvZz09>
Meeting ID: 161 032 1093
Passcode: 991058***

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

***+1-669-254-5252
Meeting ID: 161 032 1093
Passcode: 991058***

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN**

DATE: 12/05/24 TIME: 9:00 A.M. DEPT: L CASE NO: FL0001336

PRESIDING: HON. MARK A. TALAMANTES

REPORTER:

CLERK: JENN CHARIFA

PETITIONER: NANCY ARCELIA
ANGULO GUZMAN

and

RESPONDENT: EFRAIN GERARDO
SANTA MARIA

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: REQUEST FOR ORDER – CHILD CUSTODY/VISITATION

RULING

Appearances are required.

Parties must comply with Marin County Superior Court Local Rules, Rule 7.12(B), (C), which provide that If a party wants to present oral argument, the party must contact the Court at (415) 444-7046 and all opposing parties by 4:00 p.m. the court day preceding the scheduled hearing. Notice may be by telephone or in person to all other parties that argument is being requested (i.e., it is not necessary to speak with counsel or parties directly.) Unless the Court and all parties have been notified of a request to present oral argument, no oral argument will be permitted except by order of the Court. In the event no party requests oral argument in accordance with Rule 7.12(C), the tentative ruling shall become the order of the court.

IT IS ORDERED that video appearances though Zoom are permitted unless a party is ordered to appear in court. In-person appearances are also permitted. Evidentiary hearings shall be in-person in Department L. The parties may access Department L for video conference via a link on the court website.

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are responsible for ensuring that they have a good connection and that they are available for the hearing. If the connection is inadequate, the Court may proceed with the hearing in the party's absence.

Any party contesting the ruling and requesting oral argument shall appear in person or remotely through Zoom either by video or telephone. Please follow the guidelines set forth on the court website at www.marin.courts.ca.gov

The Zoom appearance information is as follows:

December 2024, 09:00 AM

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1610321093?pwd=YW5DaGY2ekZsSUFNbE51T1JsRTMvZz09>

Meeting ID: 161 032 1093

Passcode: 991058

If you are only able to appear by phone you may dial the phone number below, follow the prompts and enter the meeting ID and passcode.

+1-669-254-5252

Meeting ID: 161 032 1093

Passcode: 991058

If a party and/or counsel elects to appear over Zoom they must follow proper Zoom etiquette. This includes joining the call five minutes early, speaking only one at a time, avoiding disruptions, and wearing proper attire appropriate for a court environment. Parties must act and speak in a professional and respectful manner as though they are in an actual courtroom. If a party or counsel is unable to follow proper Zoom etiquette, the court may halt the hearing and order the parties to return in person.